# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE AT CHATTANOOGA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	)	
	)	
VS.	)	JUDGE CARTER
	)	Mag. No. 1:12-mj-54
NOE MARTINEZ II	)	-
ALBERTO TOP TINA	)	
SOTERO PAREDES RUIZ	j	

#### MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

In accordance with Rules 5 and 5.1 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and in accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 United States Code § 3142(f), the preliminary hearing and detention hearing were held in this action on February 21, 2012. Those present included:

- (1) AUSA Perry Piper for the United States of America.
- (2) The defendant, NOE MARTINEZ II.
- (3) Attorney Myrlene Marsa for defendant Martinez.
- (4) The defendant, ALBERTO TOP TINA.
- (5) Attorney Aubrey Harper for defendant Tina.
- (6) The defendant, SOTERO PAREDES RUIZ.
- (7) Attorney John Cavett for defendant Ruiz.
- (8) ICE Agent Clint Cantrell.
- (9) Deputy Clerk Kelli Jones.
- (10) Spanish Interpreter Julia Davis.
- (11) Court Reporter Shannan Andrews.

Upon being sworn, the defendants were informed or reminded of their privilege against self-incrimination accorded them under the 5th Amendment to the United States Constitution. The defendants acknowledged having received a copy of the Criminal Complaint, and the interpreter read the charging document to each defendant.

AUSA Piper moved the court that the defendants be detained without bail.

## Detention Hearing and Preliminary Hearing - Proof

AUSA Piper called Agent Clint Cantrell of the U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) as a witness. He testified to the facts outlined in the affidavit attached to the criminal complaint.

### **Findings**

Having heard and considered the testimony of the Agent Cantrell during the detention hearing and preliminary hearing and the Affidavit/Complaint, the undersigned finds:

- (1) There is probable cause to believe that there have been violations of 18 U.S.C. § 1546, possessing and using fraudulent immigration documents, committed in the Eastern District of Tennessee.
- (2) There is probable cause to believe the defendants committed the aforesaid offenses.
- (3) The proof the defendants committed the aforesaid offenses is strong.
- (4) The Court assessed there are no conditions nor are there any combination of conditions which will reasonably ensure the presence of the defendants at future hearings.

#### Conclusions

#### It is ORDERED:

- (1) All defendants are held to answer the charges against them in the District Court.
- (2) AUSA Piper's motion to detain the defendants without bail is GRANTED for reasons set out in the separate ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL filed with this order.
- (3) The defendants' next appearance shall be for an arraignment at 10:00 a.m. on Tuesday, March 6, 2012.

ENTER.

S / William B. Mitchell Carter
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE